

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,093	TERRY, JOHN DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Trinh Vo Dinh	2821	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Trinh Vo Dinh (Examiner). (3) ____.
- (2) Marvin Beekman (Attorney). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 02/25/05 & 03/03/05.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

On 02/22/2005, the first conversation between the Attorney and the Examiner regarding a restriction requirement. Applicant's representative selected claims 1-19 for prosecuting the application.

The second conversation took place on 03/03/2005, Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 7 and 18 in order to comply with 35 U.S.C, 112 second paragraph, and to cancel non-elected claims 20-26 in order to place the application in a condition for allowance.